*International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*

*Volume 3, Special Issue 3: ICERTSD 2013, Feb 2013, pages 498-503*

An ISO 9001:2008 certified Int. Journal, ISSN 2250-2459, available online at www.ijetae.com

# ASSESSMENT OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION IN A DEREGULATED POWER MARKET SCENARIO IN INDIA

Dr. C.K.Chanda, Avishek Ghose Roy

*Bengal Engineering and Science University, Department of Electrical Engineering*
*Shibpur, Howrah, Pin-711103, West Bengal, India*

ckc_math@yahoo.com,avishekghoseroy02@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

Most of the electricity produced today is generated in large generating stations, which is then transmitted at high voltages to the load centres and distributed among consumers at distribution level voltage through local distribution systems. On the other hand by Distributed Generation (DG) power is produced on a customer's site or at a local distribution network. Distributed Generation is defined as generation located at or near the load centres. The generation technologies on fuel resources used can be classified into renewable and non renewable. The liberalization of the electricity market and the separation between electricity supplier and network operator in India have drawn attention to the subject of connecting generated power (either by distributed generation or by conventional centralized power plants) directly to grids. Besides this there are many reasons why a customer may choose to install a distributed generator. Distributed Generation can be used to generate a customer's entire electricity supply or for peak shaving (generating a portion of a customer's power onsite to reduce the amount of electricity purchased during peak price periods) or for standby or emergency generation (as a backup to Wires Owner's power supply) or as a green power source (using renewable technology) or for increased reliability. In some remote locations, DG can be less costly as it eliminates the need for expensive construction of distribution and/or transmission lines**.** The objective of this paper is to evaluate the scope of Distributed Generation and study the impact of it on the deregulated power market scenario in India using Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI).

**Keywords:** Distributed Generation (DG), Deregulated Power Market, Renewable Energy, Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI), Carbon Footprint.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Distributed Generation

Distributed Generation is defined as generation located at or near the load centres. They generate electricity through various small scale power generation technologies. It has no exact definition but all the definitions are more or less similar in nature. Let us have a look on some of the existing definitions**:**

According to *CIGRE (International Council on Large Electric Systems)* [1] Distributed Generation is not centrally planned, usually connected to the distribution network, smaller than 50 or 100 Megawatt.

*IEA (International Energy Agency)* [2] says that the Distributed Generation is generating plant serving a customer on site, or providing support to a distribution network and connected to the grid at distribution level voltages.

*DPCA (Distributed Power Coalition of America)* [3] defines that Distributed Generation is any small scale power generation technology that provides electric power at a site closer to customer than central station generation.

A distributed power unit can be connected directly to the consumer or to a utility's transmission or distribution system.

According to *Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Government of India,* [4] Distributed Generation is defined as**: -** Installation and operation of electric power generation units connected to the local network or off-grid generation characterized by

- Generation capacity ranging from Kilowatt to Megawatt level.
- Generation at distribution voltages (11kV or below).
- Grid interconnected at distribution line side.
- Interconnected to a local grid or totally off-grid, including captive.

Distributed Generation energy resources can be classified into renewable and non-renewable. The Distributed Generation technologies based on renewable source of energy are Wind, Photo-voltaic & Solar thermal, Ocean (Tidal and Marine current), Small Hydro. The Distributed generation technologies based on non renewable source of energy are Micro Turbine, Industrial Combustion Turbine, Combined Cycle Gas Turbine and Reciprocating engines (Internal Combustion Engine) [5, 18, 19].

*Presented at International Conference on Energy Resources and Technologies for Sustainable Development, 07-09 February 2013, Howrah, India*

ICERSTD2013-13-122

ISSN 2250-2459, *Volume 3, Special Issue 3: ICERTSD 2013, Feb 2013, pages 498-503*

Moreover Fuel Cells can be classified as renewable (using Hydrogen) or nonrenewable (using Natural gas or Petrol).Depending upon the different sources of generation, range of Distributed generation differs. Usually they are classified as [5]**:-**

- Micro Distributed Generation (From 1 Watt to < 5 KW)
- Small Distributed Generation (From 5 kW to < 5 MW)
- Medium Distributed Generation (From 5 MW to < 50 MW)
- Large Distributed Generation ( From 50 MW to < 300 MW)

### 1.2 Deregulation

Deregulation in power sector is a process by which government removes or reduces restriction on power industry and invite private sector to invest in power industry.

Many reasons [6] led to deregulation of power sector in India. Traditionally electric utility systems developed as the central station concept for economic consideration. Large generator units produce power at less cost per Kilowatt than small generator units. But now-a-days due to technical innovation efficiency of small units for combined cycle, hydro and fuel cell is improved over larger units. Moreover computerised control systems, data communications, off-site monitoring systems, improvement in materials like new high temperature metals, special lubricants, ceramics, carbon fibres permits stronger and less expensive small machinery to build. These makes it possible to build economically viable small plants that could provide energy at a lower price than what consumers are paying for, which is coming from the traditional giant power plants.

Some of the main reasons [6] for going into deregulated power market are as follows,

1. This brings an end to the need of providing risk free finance to build infrastructure; as it is a proved technology, the risk involved in investing money is cancelled out.
2. Monopoly utilities have an obligation to serve all customers but they does not promote the pro-active attention to consumer needs, whereas a competitive electric service company predicts consumer's needs and responds in advance; competition brings innovation, efficiency and lowers the cost [7].

## 2. DEREGULATION IN POWER MARKET IN INDIA

During the decade of nineties [6], many electric utilities and power network companies around the world have been forced to change their way of operation and business from the vertically integrated structure to the unbundled formation i.e. the former vertically integrated utility which performed all the functions involved in power generation, transmission and distribution is disaggregated into separate companies devoted to each function.

In India, The Electricity Act 2003 addressed certain issues [8] that have prevented or slowed down the reform process and did not adequately encourage private investment in the electricity sector. The act moves towards creating a market based regime in the power sector. The act focuses on creating a competition in the industry, ensuring supply of electricity to all areas within the country, protecting consumer's interest etc. The Act, in addition to grid extension as a mode for rural electrification, specifies distributed generation and supply through stand-alone conventional and renewable energy systems [8]. Among few major provisions of the Electricity Act 2003 [8], unbundling of the State Electricity Boards (SEB) on the basis of function (Generation, Transmission, Distribution), provision of issuing more than one license for transmission and distribution in the same geographical area, elimination of licensing for setting up a generating station, subject to compliance with technical standards (excluding hydro electric power station), removing captive power plants from the bound of licensing and other permissions, provision of open access with respect to transmission, introduction of a spot market for bulk electricity are notable in this context.

## 3. EFFECT OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION IN DEREGULATED POWER MARKET SCENARIO

### 3.1 Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI)

To evaluate the effect of Distributed Generation we introduce a well known economical index called Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI) [9].It is a measurement used to understand the level of competition that exists within a market or industry, as well as give an indication of how the distribution of market share occurs across the companies included in the index. Understanding the level of market competition can be important for strategic planning as well as when trying to establish pricing for a company's products or services, in this case the company is any power generating company and their product is power. The calculation of the HHI differs from the standard Concentration Ratio in that it squares each market share value which places a higher importance on those top companies that have a larger market share. The formula used for determining the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index is as follows**:**

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i^2$$

Where $s_i$ is the market share of company $i$ in the market and $N$ is the number of companies.

The HHI can have a theoretical value ranging from close to zero to 10,000. If there exists only a single market participant having 100% of the market share the HHI would be 10,000. If there were a great number of market participants with each company having a market share of almost 0% then the HHI could be close to zero.

ISSN 2250-2459, *Volume 3, Special Issue 3: ICERTSD 2013, Feb 2013, pages 498-503*

- When the HHI value is less than 100, the market is *Highly Competitive.*
- When the HHI value is in between 100 – 1000, the market is *Reasonably Competitive or Not Concentrated.*
- When the HHI value is in between 1000 – 1800, the market is said to be *Moderately Concentrated.*
- When the HHI value is above 1800, the market is said to be *Highly Concentrated.*

A simple example will be more effective to understand how HHI can be determined. Suppose there are 5 firms producing 12% of goods each and the rest is equally produced by 8 firms. So, last 8 firms their share of producing goods is 5% for each of them. So, the HHI becomes,

$H = 5*12^2 + 8*5^2$
$= 920$

Therefore we can conclude that the market for that particular good is not concentrated or reasonably competitive.

### 3.2 Case Studies

Suppose there is a load demand of 500 MW prevailing at certain geographical area. If we neglect the losses then at least 500 MW of power is to be generated there to meet the total load. Now we consider some scenarios **:**

***Case-1*:** All the demand is met by conventional power plants, each having equal capacity of 100 MW. So, there will be 5 plants.

Table 1: Generation break-up for case-1

| *CAPACITY(MW)* | *NO.OF PLANTS* | *TOTAL(MW)* |
|---|---|---|
| **100** | **5** | **500** |

So, the share of each plant is = (100/500)*100%
= 20%
Therefore, $H = 5*20^2 = 2000$

***Case-2*:** 20% of the total demand is met from renewable distributed generation energy, and the rest is met by conventional plants. The numbers of renewable distributed generation energy plants are taken arbitrarily and they are of the range 20 MW, 10 MW, 5 MW, 2 MW as they are available in the Indian market as per the different sources like Wind energy, Solar PV cells, Micro Hydro units etc.

Table 2: Generation break-up for case-2

| *CAPACITY(MW)* | *NO.OF PLANTS* | *TOTAL(MW)* |
|---|---|---|
| **100** | **4** | **400** |
| **20** | **2** | **40** |
| **10** | **3** | **30** |
| **5** | **4** | **20** |
| **2** | **5** | **10** |

So, for each conventional plant the share is 20% and for each renewable distributed generation energy plants those are 4%, 2%, 1% and 0.4% respectively and the total number of plants is 18.

Therefore, $H = 4*20^2 + 2*4^2 + 3*2^2 + 4*1^2 + 5*0.4^2$
$= 1648.8$

***Case-3*:** 40% of the total demand is met from renewable distributed generation energy, and the rest is met by conventional plants. Renewable distributed generation energy plants are of the range of 20 MW, 10 MW, 5 MW, 2 MW, 1 MW, 0.5 MW.

Table 3: Generation break-up for case-3

| *CAPACITY(MW)* | *NO.OF PLANTS* | *TOTAL(MW)* |
|---|---|---|
| **100** | **3** | **300** |
| **20** | **5** | **100** |
| **10** | **5** | **50** |
| **5** | **4** | **20** |
| **2** | **5** | **10** |
| **1** | **10** | **10** |
| **0.5** | **20** | **10** |

Here, for each conventional plant the share is 20% and for each renewable distributed generation energy plants those are 4%, 2%, 1%, 0.4%, 0.2% and 0.1% respectively and the total number of plants is 52.

Therefore, $H = 3*20^2 + 5*4^2 + 5*2^2 + 4*1^2 + 5*0.4^2 + 10*0.2^2 + 20*0.1^2$
$=1305.4$

***Case-4*:** 60% of the total demand is met from renewable distributed generation energy, and the rest is met by conventional plants. Renewable distributed generation energy plants are of the range of 20 MW, 10 MW, 5 MW, 2 MW, 1 MW, 0.5 MW.

Table 4: Generation break-up for case-4

| *CAPACITY(MW)* | *NO.OF PLANTS* | *TOTAL(MW)* |
|---|---|---|
| **100** | **2** | **200** |
| **20** | **5** | **100** |
| **10** | **10** | **100** |
| **5** | **10** | **50** |
| **2** | **10** | **20** |
| **1** | **20** | **20** |
| **0.5** | **20** | **10** |

Here, for each conventional plant the share is 20% and for each renewable distributed generation energy plants those are 4%, 2%, 1%, 0.4%, 0.2% and 0.1% respectively and the total number of plants is 77.

Therefore, $H = 2*20^2 + 5*4^2 + 10*2^2 + 10*1^2 + 10*0.4^2 + 20*0.2^2 + 20*0.1^2$
$= 932.6$

ISSN 2250-2459, *Volume 3, Special Issue 3: ICERTSD 2013, Feb 2013, pages 498-503*

***Case-5*:** In this case total demand is met by renewable distributed generation energy plants having the range of 20 MW, 10 MW, 5 MW, 2 MW, 1 MW, 0.5 MW.

Table 5: Generation break-up for case-5

| *CAPACITY(MW)* | *NO.OF PLANTS* | *TOTAL(MW)* |
|---|---|---|
| **20** | **10** | **200** |
| **10** | **10** | **100** |
| **5** | **20** | **100** |
| **2** | **25** | **50** |
| **1** | **30** | **30** |
| **0.5** | **40** | **20** |

So, for each renewable distributed generation energy plants those are 4%, 2%, 1%, 0.4%, 0.2% and 0.1% respectively and the total number of plants is 135.

Therefore, $H = 10*4^2 + 10*2^2 + 20*1^2 + 25*0.4^2 + 30*0.2^2 + 40*0.1^2$

$= 225.6$

From the aforesaid case studies we can draw a bar chart clearly showing effect of penetration of renewable distributed generation (*Green Power*) in the present energy generating market scenario.




Fig 1: Variation of Herfindahl-Hirschman Index with the number of mixed (Conventional and Renewable Distributed Generation) generating plants.

From the figure it is very clear that as the number of renewable distributed generation plants are increasing the Herfindahl-Hirschman Index value is decreasing, thus showing more and more competition in the power industry.

In the first case HHI is 2000 which clearly shows the monopoly nature of the power industry. Now in subsequent cases as renewable distributed generation plants increases HHI value falls, indicating the competitive nature of the power industry.

## 4. ANALYSIS

There is the increased interest by electricity suppliers in distributed generation because they see it as a tool that can help them to fill in niches in a liberalised market. In such a market, customers will look for the electricity service best suited for them. Different customers attach different weights to features of electricity supply, and distributed generation technologies can help electricity suppliers to supply to the electricity customers the type of electricity service they prefer. In short, distributed generation allows players in the electricity sector to respond in a flexible way to changing market conditions because of their small sizes and the short construction lead times compared to most types of larger central power plants.

Above case studies and the derived figure from that study clearly reveals that competition is increasing in Indian power market in the deregulated scenario. Under deregulated environment, electric utilities will always try to innovate something for the betterment of service and in turn save its costs to maximize the profit. By means of these utilities will try to ensure that they maintain their consumer base in spite of competition. So, in this scenario most effective solution is to introduce Renewable Distributed Generation technologies (*Green Power*) along with conventional power plants (*Dirty Power*). A chart is given below for understanding the relative costs of investment in conventional power plants and non-conventional i.e. renewable distributed generation energy plants [11, 12, 13, 20, 21, 22].




Fig 2: Capital cost in INR crore/MW for different types of generating plants.

ISSN 2250-2459, *Volume 3, Special Issue 3: ICERTSD 2013, Feb 2013, pages 498-503*

This chart suggests the present value of capital cost in different electricity generation technologies powered by conventional and non-conventional sources of energy is running almost side by side. The cost is decreasing day by day in case of renewable distributed generation energy plants due to scientific innovation and the same is increasing for the fossil fuel powered conventional power plants as fossil fuel price is increasing due to their limited availability. Economic efficiency refers to the principle that using valuable resources should be avoided. According to International Energy Agency (IEA), the increasing share of distributed generation in the installed capacity is likely to imply less choice for fossil fuels, leading to diversification of primary energy sources. Moreover the renewable sources of power merely produce Carbon-dioxide and other Green House Gases that is hugely responsible for global warming and other adverse climatic changes. On this context *Carbon Footprint* is an important index, which measures the total amount of Carbon-dioxide and other Green House Gases emitted by an organization [14]. Once the size of a carbon footprint is known, a strategy can be developed to minimise it. The mitigation of carbon footprints through the implement of alternative renewable sources of energy, such as solar, wind etc. represents one way of reducing a carbon footprint and is often known as Carbon offsetting. So, for Indian perspective, capacity addition in power sector is driven by the requirement to achieve energy stability, security of energy supply and energy independence combined with the requirements to minimize carbon footprints. This in turn directs its policy (National Electricity Policy) to encourage renewable power generating technologies under $10^{th}$, $11^{th}$ and $12^{th}$ 5 year plans [16]. A chart is given below clearly showing the effect of deregulation which in turn brings competition among the market players to innovate newer technologies to reduce the capital cost [15, 20] and also the cost per unit of electricity [10, 17] (Here the example is given for Solar PV units only).




Fig 3: Variation of capital cost for Solar PV plants with respect to financial years.




Fig 4: Variation of average unit cost in INR/KWh of Conventional Grid power and Solar power with respect to year.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The paper has presented a thorough view of the effect of incorporating Distributed Generation in the prevailing deregulated power market scenario in India. In this paper with the help of a well established economic index i.e. Herfindahl-Hirschman Index (HHI), the effect of competition in the present power market is depicted. The deregulation process in a power deficit country like India attracts new investors to come and generate electricity at cheaper rate than the others which is clearly depicted in fig. 4. As number of new players is increased, Herfindahl-Hirschman Index falls, showing the competitive nature of power market. To establish themselves in the market, they have to reduce the cost of electrical power which can be done by reducing transmission and distribution related losses (mainly by avoiding congestion in the transmission & distribution lines by reducing the amount of power flowing through them), reducing the use of costly imported fossil fuels, reducing emission of greenhouse gases etc. which in turn directs to the inevitable use of Distributed Generation technology. Reduction of emission of greenhouse gases using renewable distributed generation reduces carbon footprint directly which in turn is having a green impact on eco-system.

Therefore, our methodology not only suggests the mere competitions in the deregulated power market scenario but also suggests the most effective way to decrease a carbon footprint by decreasing the dependence on carbon emitting fuels.

## 6. REFERENCES

1. Technical Report, "Impact of increasing contribution of dispersed generation on the power system", Conseil International des Grands Réseaux Électriques (CIGRE). (available online at : *http://www.cigre.org*)
2. Technical Report, "Distributed Generation in liberalised electricity market, IEA publications", International Energy Agency (IEA). (available online at : *http://www.iea.org*)
3. Technical Report,"What is Distributed Power?" ,Distributed Power Coalition of America (DPCA). (available online at : *http://www.distributed-generation.com/dpca/what.html*)

ISSN 2250-2459, *Volume 3, Special Issue 3: ICERTSD 2013, Feb 2013, pages 498-503*


4. Technical Report by Dr. D.K. Khare, "Distributed Generation-Renewable Energy Technology Options & Models", Director, Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Government of India. (available online at : *http://mnre.gov.in*)
5. Ackermann, T., Andersson, G. and Lennart, S.,"Distributed Generation: a definition", *Electric Power Systems Research 57 (2001)*,page 195-204.
6. Technical Report by A. R. Abhyankar and S. A. Khaparde, "Introduction to Deregulation in power industry". (available online at : http://nptel.iitm.ac.in/courses/Webcourse-contents/IIT%20Bombay/Power%20System%20Operation%20and%20Control/Module%207/L01-Introduction%20to%20Deregulation-1.pdf)
7. Technical Report by S. Ganguly, "Competitive Market Development and Role of Power Exchange", Power Exchange India Limited. (available online at : *http://www.assocham.org/events/recent/event_352/Satyajit_Ganguly.ppt*)
8. Technical Report, "The Electricity Act 2003", Central Electricity Authority.(available online at : *http://www.powermin.nic.in/acts_notification/electricity_act2003*)
9. "Herfindahl-Hirschman Index". (available online at : *http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Herfindahl_index*)
10. Technical Report by A. Jauhari, "Analyzing the Financial Feasibility of Solar Power Plants in India", (available online at: *http://www.slideshare.net*)
11. Sharma, A., 2010, "Hydro Power vs. Thermal Power: A Comparative Cost-Benefit Analysis", International Journal of Arts and Sciences 3(9): 125 - 143.
12. Technical Report, "Solar Power bids below Rs. 9 per unit risky", Credit Rating and Information Services of India Ltd. (available online at : *http://crisil.com/Ratings/Brochureware/News/CRISIL%20Research_pr_Solar_07Jun2012.pdf*)
13. Technical Report, "The India Electricity Market Outlook, 2008", Klynveld Peat Marwick Goerdeler (KPMG). (available online at : http://www.scribd.com/doc/76371565/KPMG-India-Electricity-Outlook-2008).
14. "Carbon Footprint". (available online at : *http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_footprint*)
15. Nayak, A., January, 2012, "Cost Economics of Solar kWh", Energitica India.
16. Technical Report, "Report of the working group on New and Renewable Energy", Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Government of India. (available online at : *http://mnre.gov.in*)
17. Technical Report, "The Rising Sun-A Point of View on the Solar Energy Sector in India, May 2011", Klynveld Peat Marwick Goerdeler (KPMG). (available online at : *http://kpmg.com/in*)
18. Technical Report, "Assessment of Distributed Generation Technology Applications", Resource Dynamics Corporation.(available online at : *http://www.distributed-generation.com*)
19. Friedman, N. R., "Distributed Generation: Technology Challenges and Needs". (available online at : *http://nrf@rdcnet.com*)
20. News Report, "Solar power shines on photo voltaic panel price crash", Times of India. (available online at : *http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/india-business/Solar-power-shines-on-photo-voltaic-panel-price-crash/articleshow/14379141.cms*)
21. Technical Report by Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Government of India. (available online at : http://mnre.gov.in/file-manager/Userfiles/faq_biomass.htm and http://mnre.gov.in/file-manager/Userfiles/faq_wind.pdf)
22. Technical Report, "Economics of Gas Based Power Generation, May 2010", Credit Rating and Information Services of India Ltd. (available online at: http://crisil.com/pdf/infra-advisory/3-economics-gas-generation-cris.pdf)


## NOMENCLATURE

| **Symbol** | |
|---|---|
| *H* | Herfindahl-Hirschman Index |

## AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

**Dr. C.K.Chanda** is an Professor at Department of Electrical Engineering at Bengal Engineering And Science University, Shibpur, India. He has 25 years of teaching experience and 5 years of industry experience. He has research interst in Power System Operation & Control, Renewable Energy, Distributed Generation etc. He has more than 75 publications in various national and international peer reviewed journals and conferences . In his credit he has visited USA,China,Japan,Egypt for attending international conferences. He has published 4 books. He has guided 4 Phd scholars.

**Avishek Ghose Roy** is a Postgraduate scholar at Department of Electrical Engineering at Bengal Engineering And Science University, Shibpur, India. He has guided UG students in the laboratory of EE department. He is having research interest in Power System Operation & Control, Voltage Stability, Renewable Energy, Distributed Generation etc.